



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,019	09/09/2003	Jerome P. Dattilo	58831US002	4044
32692	7590	03/17/2004	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			DINH, PHUONG K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/658,019

Applicant(s)

DATTILO ET AL.

Examiner

Phuong KT Dinh

Art Unit

2839

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claim 1, lines 7-8, the phrase, "truncated second end" does not set forth the intended limitation should "surface mount" terms be added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-2, 4- 5, 7-9, 11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kandybowski (U. S. Patent 5,174,764).

Regarding claim 1, Kandybowski discloses a header connector 20 comprising: a header body 22 having a front wall 29, the front wall 29 having a plurality of first and second passageways 34 disposed between an internal surface and an external surface;

Art Unit: 2839

a plurality of conductive pins 50 configured for insertion into the first passageways 34, each conductive pin 50 having a first end extending from the internal surface, an intermediate section disposed in the first passageway, and a surface mounting 28 extending from the external surface of the front wall 29, wherein the conductive pins 50 are not fully inserted into the first passageway (see figure 5) a plurality of shield blades 76 configured for insertion into the second passageways, each shield blade 76 having a first end extending from the internal surface, an intermediate section disposed in the second passageway, and a second end extending from the external surface of the front wall.

Regarding claim 2, Kandybowski discloses the second end of the conductive pin 50 does not contain a spring like element.

Regarding claim 4, Kandybowski discloses the conductive pin 50 moves longitudinally within the first passageways when the header connector 20 is assembled to a printed circuit board 120.

Regarding claim 5, Kandybowski discloses the shield blades 76 are fully inserted into the second passageways of the header body 22.

Regarding claim 7, Kandybowski discloses an interconnect system comprising: a printed circuit board 120 comprising a plurality of surface mount pads 122 and a plurality of conductive vias; and means for holding the header connector 20 to the printed circuit board 120, wherein when the header connector 20 is assembled to the printed circuit board 120, each conductive pin 50 of the header connector 20 move, in relation to the front wall 29 of the header body 22, longitudinally in the first passageway

34 to contact the surface mount pads and the second end of the shield blades 76 of the header connector mate with the conductive vias in the printed circuit board.

Regarding claim 8, Kandybowski discloses the means 78 for holding the header connector to the printed circuit board 120 is provided by frictional force created when the shield blades 76 mate with the conductive vias on the printed circuit board 120.

Regarding claim 9, Kandybowski discloses the conductive pin 50 does not contain a spring like element on its second end.

Regarding claim 11, Kandybowski discloses the shield blades 76 are fully inserted into the second passageways 34 of the header body 22.

Regarding claim 13, Kandybowski discloses a method of assembling an interconnect system comprising the steps of: providing a printed circuit board 120 comprising a plurality of surface mount pads 122 and a plurality of conductive vias; and assembling the header connector 20 to the printed circuit board 120 such that the shield blades 76 in the header body 22 mate with the conductive vias in the printed circuit board and the conductive pins 50 in the header body move longitudinally to make contact with the surface mount pads 122 on the printed circuit board.

Regarding claim 14, Kandybowski discloses the step of holding the header connector to the printed circuit board 120.

Regarding claim 15, Kandybowski discloses frictional force created when the shield blades 76 mate with the conductive vias on the printer circuit board 120 holds the header connector to the printed board 120.

Regarding claim 14, Kandybowski discloses the shield blades 76 of the header connector are fully inserted into the second passageways 34 of the header body.

6. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramey (U. S. Patent 6,231,391).

Regarding claim 1, Kandybowski discloses a header connector 400 comprising: a header body 402 having a front wall 410, the front wall 410 having a plurality of first and second passageways 416, 418 disposed between an internal surface and an external surface 422, 424; a plurality of conductive pins 404 configured for insertion into the first passageways 416, each conductive pin 404 having a first end extending from the internal surface, an intermediate section disposed in the first passageway 416, and a surface mounting 452 extending from the external surface of the front wall 410, wherein the conductive pins 410 are inserted into the first passageway a plurality of shield blades 406 configured for insertion into the second passageways 418, each shield blade 406 having a first end extending from the internal surface 422, an intermediate section disposed in the second passageway 418, and a second end extending from the external surface of the front wall 410. Terms "not fully inserted" sets for no clear limitation in absence of "pin moves when mounted" terms see claim 4.

Regarding claim 6, Ramey discloses the intermediate portion of the shield blade 406 has a generally right angle shield portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kandybowski in view of Bright (U. S. Patent 4,726,793).

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Kandybowski discloses the claimed invention except for the second end of the conductive pin is substantially flat. Bright discloses the conductive pin is substantially flat 60. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kandybowski to provide the conductive pin is substantially flat as taught by Bright so as better connection.

9. Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramey in view of Kandybowski.

Regarding claim 7 and 12, Ramey discloses the claimed invention except for the header connector is assembled to the printed circuit board each conductive pin of the header connector move in relation to the front wall of the header body. Kandybowski discloses the header connector is assembled to the printed circuit board each conductive pin of the header connector move in relation to the front wall of the header body. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ramey to provide for the header connector is

Art Unit: 2839

assembled to the printed circuit board each conductive pin of the header connector move in relation to the front wall of the header body as taught by Kandybowski so as to provide better connection.

Conclusion

Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see *Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office*, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Or Faxed to:

(703) 872-9306.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist)

2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phuong KT Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 -5, 5 days a week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Phuong Dinh
March 1, 2004.